



REPORT

THE ROLE OF COLLABORATION AND COLLABORATION TECHNOLOGIES IN THE NEW WORK LANDSCAPE









INTRODUCTION

One of the goals of the Collaboration and Educational Technology Hub project was to explore how to best foster collaboration in the context of technology use — on the one hand, to ensure that various stakeholder groups benefit, and on the other, to support the ethical, transparent, and well-being-centered development of both collaboration and technologies.

Our task was to approach this issue from multiple perspectives in order to gain a comprehensive understanding. In doing so, we examined various aspects of the interplay between collaboration and technology. One of the key focuses of the project was to develop a deeper understanding of the role of collaboration technologies in the new work landscape, as well as the role of collaboration itself. Another important objective was to highlight both the benefits and challenges of using technology in collaboration settings.

With the continuous evolution of work formats, this topic has become increasingly important today. Hybrid models that combine online and offline work, as well as fully remote work, are becoming increasingly common. In this context, technologies that reduce travel time and facilitate remote work, meetings, and negotiations are gaining importance. However, within the digital environment, there is a growing need to rethink the role of collaboration.

Within the project, we employed a range of research designs - quantitative approaches to identify relationships between factors, and qualitative methods to gain a deeper understanding of the phenomena. Among the qualitative methods used were focus groups. As part of the Collaboration and Educational Technology Hub project, a series of focus groups was conducted.

These focus groups enabled to gain a richer and more nuanced understanding of the role of collaboration technologies in today's work environment, as well as perceptions of both technology and collaboration. This approach revealed deep and often unexpected insights — the kind that emerge particularly well in focus group settings. Moreover, the group-based nature of this method fosters interaction that helps participants recall more, notice details, and generate new ideas collaboratively.













The research brings number of practical Implications. This study is intended for various groups of readers, including researchers focused on collaboration and the role of technology in the modern world of work, as well as the study of these technologies and their effects. The findings are particularly relevant for the business and education sectors, where understanding how to organize work and learning processes more effectively using technology and within collaborative contexts is crucial. Additionally, the results may be valuable for policymakers in education and labor to develop strategies for implementing collaborative technologies, with attention to their specific features and impacts.

The report is structured as follows: a brief description of the research design; an explanation of the significance of focus groups as a research method; the questions posed during the study; the results obtained; the recommendations derived from the findings; and an appendix containing the questions asked and the main responses from the focus groups.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The focus groups were composed of an average of 5 people. The sample consisted of students from executive education programmes at Bocconi University.

We point out the importance of using focus groups to answer our research question. First of all, focus groups as a qualitative method allow to better understand the phenomenon of collaborations and technologies. Understanding of the phenomenon and key mechanisms is very important for forming correct and effective mechanics of introduction and use of collaborations and technologies. Focus groups also provide a deep understanding of the experience and perception.

Furthermore, focus groups allow not just to gather opinions, but to dive into the real user experience: how technologies are used, what feelings and barriers arise. The role of collaborative technologies is not only functional, but also emotional (e.g. feelings of engagement, control, overload).













In addition, focus groups provide the opportunity to discover unexpected insights since in a group, participants often remember more and reveal more than in individual interviews or surveys. This leads to Unpredictable but valuable insights that can be missed in a standard interview (e.g. fear of isolation, "invisibility", loss of improvisation in digital interaction).

CORE QUESTIONS

During the focus groups, four questions were asked.

Question 1 - Please summarize the top three positive and negative aspects that emerged from the group discussion and related to the use of technology for work purposes outside of the working day. -

Question 2: Please summarize how these positives and negatives impact your work-life balance?

Question 3 - Please summarize the top three positive and negative aspects that emerged from the group discussion and related to the use of technology for personal purposes during the working day.

Question 4: Summarize how these positives and negatives impact your work-life balance?













RESULTS

This paragraph presents the summarized findings from the focus group discussions. The table below provides detailed results, while the text that follows highlights the key aggregated insights.

Table 1. Summary of focus groups results.

Question 1. Please	Question 2:.Please	Question 3. Please	Question 4. Summarize how
summarize the top three	summarize how these	summarize the top three	these positives and
positive and negative	positives and negatives	positive and negative	negatives impact your
aspects that emerged	impact your work-life	aspects that emerged	work-life balance? - Video
from the group	balance? - Video	from the group discussion	Transcript
discussion and related	Transcript	and related to the use of	Transcript
to the use of technology	Trunscript	technology for personal	
for work purposes		purposes during the	
outside of the working		working day Video	
day Video Transcript		Transcript	
New technologies	The opportunity is certainly	A positive aspect is being	The positive aspect is a
increase productivity	the improvement of work-	able to use tools for private	better balance between
and work efficiency, also	life balance. The risk,	life and being able to	private and work life because
fostering teamwork	however, is the increase in	dedicate attention during	it allows better time
thanks to the ability to	work hours and therefore	the workday to personal	management. The negative
work via calls, share	having less time for	issues and daily matters.	aspect is the risk of working
documentation, work on	personal or free time.	leader and daily matters.	longer hours and having
the same document, and	percental et ince ainte		fewer relationships with
exchange ideas.			colleagues, thus reducing the
			relational aspect at work.
New technologies allow	It's certainly possible to	The greatest risk is	It's hard to detach from work
faster and more efficient	have a better work-life	distraction, with lower	reality when working from
real-time sharing of	balance, but on the other	concentration and the	home, so one must self-
documents and	hand, there's a trade-off:	influence of personal and	regulate and set boundaries.
information, leading to	isolation, loss of empathy,	family matters during	Communication happens
greater efficiency by	lower performance, a	working hours, which, on	remotely, increasing the risk
saving time on	weakened professional	the flip side, provides	of isolation and interpersonal
secondary tasks and	network, and intellectual	greater flexibility in a	detachment.
making it easier to reach	laziness.	trade-off of availability.	
colleagues, also		,	
shortening distances.			
New technologies ensure	From an opportunity point	Positive aspects: efficiency,	These aspects can positively
greater efficiency in daily	of view: efficiency, reduced	connectivity, speed.	influence the work-life
work activities by	distances, increased	Negative aspects:	balance: in emergencies or
reducing operational	productivity, elimination of	hyperconnectivity, the	illness, you can work from
and repetitive tasks, such	repetitive tasks. The risks:	merging of work and	home, stay in touch with your
as translation or writing	hyperconnectivity, which	private life, the risk of	manager, colleagues, and
emails, especially with AI.	increasingly blurs the line	always being "on."	join meetings. But they can
They also reduce	between private and work		also negatively affect
distances between	life. This leads people to		balance due to
colleagues working in	work more, reduce family		hyperconnectivity, loss of
different regions,	time, and be constantly		clear work hours, and
fostering a sense of	connected and available.		reduced family time. You risk











ible due eams, rsonal
rsonai
e good at
spheres.
etween
e, but at
e time to
better
agement.
ate to
nnection,
tive
erthinking
clearly
oersonal
е
om one
er.
between
e has
there are
ndaries
nd work
n more
realms.

In response to Question 1: "Please summarise the top three positive and negative











aspects that emerged from the group discussion and related to the use of technology for work purposes outside of the working day," both positive and negative effects were identified.

Among the positive effects, the most frequently mentioned were 1) Increased productivity and efficiency of work. 2) The possibility of remote interaction, collaboration, document sharing. 3) Flexibility in time management and the possibility to work from anywhere.

Among the negative ones: 1) Risk of hyper-connectivity - working 'always online'. 2) Blurring the boundaries between work and personal life Increased workload, overtime, reduced personal time

In Question 2, we asked: "How do these positives and negatives impact your work-life balance?" Participants in the focus groups identified the main positive impact as an easier balance due to flexibility and the ability to work remotely. Among the negative impacts, they primarily mentioned a deterioration of the balance due to constant availability, overtime, and the inability to disconnect from work. Next, it was important for us to understand (Question 3) the top three positive and negative aspects that emerged from the group discussion and related to the use of technology for personal purposes during the working day. Focus group participants identified several positive aspects: the ability to deal with personal matters during the working day (e.g., meetings, deliveries, family matters), flexibility in time management and personal tasks, and the simplification of work-life balance. They also pointed out several negative aspects, such as the risk of distractions and loss of concentration, decreased quality of work and cognitive overload due to multitasking, as well as the mixing of work and personal spheres and increased stress levels.

Question 4, asked in the focus group, addressed a key issue in today's working conditions — work-life balance. We asked: "How do these positives and negatives impact your work-life balance?" Participants noted that the positives contributed to an improved balance by enabling them to deal with personal issues during the day and saving commuting time. At the same time, the negatives led to a decreased quality of rest and blurred boundaries between work and personal time.













RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our research, we have developed several recommendations for different groups of stakeholders.

Recommendations for business and university leaders

- Given that our results show that collaborative technologies and collaboration in the context of technology lead to increased efficiency and help people work more productively, leaders in both the business and education sectors should develop strategies for implementing collaboration in the work environment.
- However, it is important to design strategies that also take into account the negative effects of collaboration and technology, including hyperconnectivity and the blurring of boundaries between work and personal life. This blurring can result in stress, poor work behavior, fatigue, reduced work quality, and cognitive overload. This is a significant finding that highlights the need for strategic approaches and tactical measures to mitigate the negative consequences of hyperconnectivity resulting from the use of collaborative technologies.
- It is essential to introduce a system for research and monitoring to track the impact of technologies on work performance and well-being.
- Develop recommendation systems and programs designed to help restore work-life balance, as well as hiring specialized psychologists to help students and staff maintain a clear boundary between work and home life.
- Additionally, it may be useful to establish workplace norms regarding working and resting hours. While new tools have contributed to increased productivity and efficiency — by reducing downtime, travel time, and gaps between meetings — they have also resulted in decreased effectiveness and quality of output and decision-making due to reduced face-to-face interaction.













Recommendations for Employees

- Self-regulation is essential for employees. First and foremost, it is important to establish personal rules and boundaries. Everyone has the right to disconnect during non-working hours and weekends. It is equally important to monitor one's own cognitive overload and stress levels. When signs of such conditions arise, it is crucial to pause and take restorative breaks.
- A balanced, careful, and thoughtful approach is also necessary when integrating technology into working process.

Recommendations for Developers of Collaborative Technologies

- Based on the research findings, it is important to address stress, cognitive overload, and fatigue among users of collaborative technologies. To achieve this, monitoring systems can be embedded within the tools themselves.
- Scheduled rest and break systems can also be integrated into technological solutions, such as automatic pause suggestions at defined intervals.

Acknowledgement

This report was developed within the MUSA – Multilayered Urban Sustainability Action – project CUP B43D21011010006, funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU, under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP) Mission 4 Component 2 Investment Line 1.5: Strenghtening of research structures and creation of R&D "innovation ecosystems", set up of "territorial leaders in R&D









